- 2. V. N. Mikhailov, "Calculation of the near-electrode region in an alkali-metal-seeded plasma," Zh. Prikl. Mekh. Tekh. Fiz., No. 4 (1971).
- 3. V. I. Belykh, "Calculation of the volt-ampere characteristics of an electrolyte boundary layer in a thermally unbalanced plasma," Zh. Prikl. Mekh. Tekh. Fiz., No. 5 (1974).
- 4. T. A. Koll and E. E. Zukoski, "Recombination rates and nonequilibrium electrical conductivity in seeded plasmas," Phys. Fluids, <u>9</u>, No. 4, 780 (1966).
- 5. J. L. Kerrebrock, in: Proceedings of the Second Symposium on Engineering Aspects of MHD, Philadelphia (1961).
- 6. G. W. Garrison, "Electrical conductivity of a seeded nitrogen plasma," AIAA J., 6, No. 7, 1264 (1968).
- 7. R. E. Weber and K. E. Tempelmeyer, "Calculation of the D-C electrical conductivity of equilibrium nitrogen and argon plasma with and without alkali metal seed," AEDC-TDR-64-119 (July, 1964).
- 8. S. T. Demetriadis and G. S. Argyropoulos, "Ohm's law in multicomponent nonisothermal plasmas with temperature and pressure gradients," Phys. Fluids, 9, No. 11, 2136 (1966).

## EXISTENCE REGION FOR ARCING CONDITIONS WITH . NEGATIVE ANODE POTENTIAL DROP

N. S. Merniov and V. A. Petrosov

UDC 533.932:621.3.036.6

Conditions for ignition of a high-current arc with negative anode potential drop  $U_a$  is investigated, and the region within which these conditions exist and causes for a transition into conditions with positive  $U_a$  are studied. It is noted that a regime with negative  $U_a$  is most preferable for most plasma units.

Two regimes are observed in working with different plasma units (MHD generators, plasmotrons, plasma accelerators, etc.) when investigating and using high-current arcs, namely, regimes with positive and with negative anode potential drop  $U_a$  [1]. Though these two regimes are often encountered when working with the same unit (one regime may pass into the other), they possess a number of distinctive properties.

The discharge is practically always uniformly distributed throughout the surface of the electrode in the regime of negative  $U_a$ , while it is tightened into a braid and contracted with positive  $U_a$ . Discharge current increases with minimal voltage increase for a negative  $U_a$  (we are speaking here of a highly developed high-current arc), while a small increase in current is accompanied by a significant increase of voltage for positive  $U_a$ , a large part of the voltage increment falling within the near-electrode zone [1, 2]. The current density through the electrode and the total heat release with positive  $U_a$  is greater than with negative  $U_a$ , other conditions being equal. Moreover, this refers to the specific heat flow in the anode spot. A number of studies have recently appeared (for example, [3-5]) in which it was discovered that heat release on the anode is less than that calculated for arcing with negative  $U_a$ , which is apparently due to an increase in the effective work function of the anode material in contact with the plasma [5-7]. No such effect is observed with positive  $U_a$ .

It is well known that a regime with positive  $U_a$  occurs in many plasma units (this has been proposed by investigators). For example, the anode drop is positive in high-current plasma accelerators. The opinion that atmospheric arcs burn with positive  $U_a$  has been widespread.

At the same time, a comparison of these properties of the two regimes implies that the regime with negative  $U_a$  is more preferable (from the point of view of decreasing energy losses in the construction, solving electrode-cooling problems, optimally organizing the working process in the unit, etc.). Therefore an investigation into the ignition regime for a high-current arc with negative anode drop, a study of the region within which this regime exists, and an explanation of the causes and conditions for a transition into a regime with positive  $U_a$  constitute the most important problems.

Moscow. Translated from Zhurnal Prikladnoi Mekhaniki i Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki, No. 1, pp. 17-24, January-February, 1976. Original article submitted November 21, 1974.

This material is protected by copyright registered in the name of Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N.Y. 10011. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$7.50.



Experiments were conducted in a vacuum chamber 0.25 m<sup>3</sup> in volume. After the chamber was evacuated it was filled with argon to a desired pressure between 5 mm Hg and 120 mm Hg. The discharge occurred between a rod cathode 3 mm in diameter and a plane radiation-cooled tungsten anode from fractions of a millimeter to 1 mm thick. The cathode-anode gap was 10 mm. The discharge was fed from a VSS-300 welded-contact rectifier. Neither bunching of the discharge on the anode nor erosion tracks was observed.

The following parameters were fixed in carrying out the experiments: current I, voltage U, pressure p, near-anode potential drop  $U_a$ , anode temperature  $T_a$ , specific  $q_a$  and total Q heat flows on the anode, anode spot diameter, current density  $j_a$ , concentration of charged particles in the plasma, and electron temperature  $T_e$ . The volt equivalent was also determined from the results of the measurements,

$$U_{ef} = \frac{Q}{I} = \frac{q_a}{j_a}$$

Measurements of  $U_a$  and of the plasma parameters were carried out using cooled probes, and the probe characteristics were entered on the PDS-021 two-coordinate potentiometer. The plasma parameters were determined using a previous [8] technique. The measurement error for  $U_a$  was  $\pm 0.5$  V.

Calorimeters, based on the FD-1 germanium photodiode, were used to measure the heat flows. The technique of the measurements was given in [9].

The variation of  $U_a$  and of discharge voltage U as a function of current with different pressures in the chamber are, correspondingly, depicted in Figs. 1a and b (1: p = 120 mm Hg; 2: p = 60 mm Hg; 3: p = 15 mm Hg; 4: p = 5 mm Hg).

The curves in Fig. 2 illustrate the influence of current on  $U_{ef}$  (1: p = 120 mm Hg; 2: p = 5 mm Hg).

The measurements demonstrated that electron temperature weakly varies throughout this range of parameters and is at the level of about 1 eV. The concentration n of charged particles remained nearly invariant for currents  $I \ge 50$  A and sharply decreased (by nearly a factor of 100) as current was decreased below 50 A. Concentration monotonically increased, varying from  $10^{14}$  to  $5 \cdot 10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> as pressure was increased from 5 to 120 mm Hg.

The anode current density  $j_a$  was at the level of from several to tens of A/cm<sup>2</sup>; heat flows  $q_a$  in the anode spot were at most 200 W/cm<sup>2</sup>. The values of  $j_a$  and  $q_a$  increased either as the pressure or the discharge current increased.

The temperature in the anode spot  $T_a$  varied from 2000°K to 3000°K, weakly depended on current, and increased with increasing pressure. Such behavior for  $T_a$  occurred because the anode was cooled only by radiation.

In the analysis we will proceed on the basis of the simplest relations, using balance equations for the current and energy,

$$j_a = j_0^e \exp\left(-\frac{eU_a}{kT_e}\right) - j_0^i - j_s^e;$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

$$q_{a} = j_{0}^{e} \exp\left(-\frac{eU_{a}}{kT_{e}}\right) \left(\varphi + 2\frac{k}{e}\Delta T_{e}\right) + j_{0}^{i} \left(U_{a} + U_{i} - \varphi + 2\frac{k}{e}\Delta T_{i}\right) - j_{s}^{e}\varphi + q,$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $j_a$  and  $q_a$  are the directly measured current densities and heat flow on the anode,  $\Delta T_e$  and  $\Delta T_i$  are the differences between the electron  $T_e$  and ion  $T_i$  temperatures of the plasma and the anode temperature  $T_a$ ,  $U_a$  is the near-anode potential drop,  $U_i$  is the ionization energy of the drive gas,  $\varphi$  is the anode function,  $j_s^e$  is the thermionic current from the anode, k is Boltzmann's constant, e is electron charge, q is the heat flow from the plasma to the anode due to radiation and the presence of a neutral component,  $j_0^e$  and  $j_0^i$  are the random densities of the electron and ion currents determined by the plasma parameters defined on the boundary at which the near-anode layer experiences a sharp potential drop (i.e., interms of the concentration n of charged particles and heat rates  $v_e$  and  $v_j$ ),

$$j_{0}^{e} = \frac{1}{4} env_{e} = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{8kT_{e}}{\pi m}} en,$$
  
$$j_{0}^{i} = \frac{1}{4} env_{i} = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{8kT_{i}}{\pi M_{i}}} en.$$

It was assumed in Eqs. (1) and (2) that the near-anode potential jump is negative (i.e., the electrode potential is less than the plasma potential), so that the random ion current passes freely to the electrode (accumulating energy), while the electron current is partially trapped by the potential barrier  $U_a$  (charged particles in the plasma up to the boundary at which the potential sharply varies in the near-anode layer have a Maxwellian distribution). Ion emission from the anode is not taken into account, since it is assumed that surface ionization is absent. Let us also assume that Joule heat release in the electrode and heat dissipation in the construction (current supply) are low.

We may obtain from Eq. (1) the equation

$$U_{a} = \frac{kT_{e}}{e} \ln \frac{j_{0}^{e}}{j_{a}^{2} + j_{0}^{i} + j_{s}^{e}}.$$
(3)

Equation (3) implies that the anode drop remains negative whenever  $\mathbf{j}_0^e > \mathbf{j}_a + \mathbf{j}_0^i + \mathbf{j}_s^e$ . The magnitude of the negative anode drop may decrease in absolute value as current density  $\mathbf{j}_a$  increases (which may be due, for example, to an increase in discharge current I), as thermionic current  $\mathbf{j}_s^e$  increases (due to increasing anode temperature), and also due to a decrease in  $\mathbf{j}_0^e$  (for example, due to a decrease in concentration n or temperature  $\mathbf{T}_e$ ).

If  $j_0^i$  and  $j_s^e$  are small in comparison with  $j_0^e$  (this condition often holds), we may speak of  $U_a$  changing sign when equality is reached,

$$j_a = j_0^e. \tag{4}$$

Let us discuss these experimental results and compare them to other data.

A regime with negative  $U_a$  is realized when  $I \ge 50$  A (cf. Fig. 1).  $U_a$  decreases in absolute value in accordance with Eq. (3) with increasing current I (and current density  $j_a$ ) and (according to estimates) when  $I^* \sim 10^3$  A,  $U_a$  will change sign. Such a change in sign of  $U_a$  has also been repeatedly observed in studying steady-state plasma accelerators [10]. The magnitude I\* has been called the "critical" current. When  $I > I^*$ , the volt-ampere characteristics become significantly steeper (discharge voltage increases with slowly varying current) and anode heat release sharply increases, which often leads to a breakdown in the construction. The discharge is highly likely to contract as we pass beyond the critical current [10, 1].

One more feature of the dependences depicted in Fig. 1a should be borne in mind. The concentration n of charged particles increases with increasing pressure for nearly invariant temperature  $T_e$ . Consequently,  $j_0^e$  also increases, though  $U_a$  decreases in absolute value, which apparently contradicts Eq. (3). All these facts indicate that anode temperature increased in these experiments as pressure was increased, reaching  $T_a = 3000^{\circ}$ K at p = 120 mm Hg, so that the thermionic current  $j_S^e$  from the anode strongly increased

TA	B	$\mathbf{LE}$	1

<i>U</i> <sub>a</sub> , ∨	n.cm <sup>-3</sup>	$\frac{h}{Te}$ , V	j <mark>e</mark> ,	j <sup>e</sup> ,	j <sup>i</sup> 0,	ji,	<sup>j</sup> a,	ч <sup>е</sup> ,	ų <sup>i</sup> ,	$q_a$ ,
	e		A/cm <sup>2</sup>				W/cm <sup>2</sup>			
5 5	5 · 10 <sup>16</sup> 5 · 10 <sup>16</sup>	1 1	10 <sup>5</sup> 10 <sup>5</sup>	$ \begin{array}{r} 10^5 \\ 7 \cdot 10^2 \end{array} $	10 <sup>2</sup> 10 <sup>2</sup>	10º 10²	$ \begin{array}{c c} 10^{5} \\ 6 \cdot 10^{2} \end{array} $	$\frac{10^6}{4,5\cdot 10^8}$	10 <sup>1</sup> 1,5·10 <sup>3</sup>	106 6 · 10 <sup>3</sup>
Experi- ment	10 <sup>16</sup> —10 <sup>17</sup> [12]	$\begin{bmatrix} 1-2\\ [19, 22, 23] \end{bmatrix}$		_	-		$10^2 - 10^3$ [19, 23, 24]	•		$\begin{array}{c} 4 \cdot 10^3 - 9 \cdot 10^3 \\ [23, 24] \end{array}$



(taking into account the anomalous factors discovered in [3-5]). Estimates have demonstrated that the increase in  $j_{S}^{e}$  is compensated by an increase in  $j_{0}^{e}$  and finally leads, in accordance with Eq. (3), to a decrease in absolute value of  $U_{a}$ .

Experiments demonstrated (cf. Fig. 1) that  $U_a$  changes sign from negative to positive as the discharge current is decreased below some critical  $I_*$  ( $I_* \approx 50$  A within a wide range of pressures). Discharge voltage U here increases. This phenomenon is due to the sharp fall in the concentration n of charged particles (by a factor of 100) as current decreases below the critical  $I_*$ , which induces a decrease in  $j_0^e$  and, consequently, a decrease of the bremsstrahlung electron of the near-anode barrier, and then leads the formation of a positive  $U_a$  (to ensure the required anode density  $j_a$ ) and an increase in discharge voltage. If we jointly examine Figs. la and b, we may conclude that the increase in U is due (basically) to an increase in  $U_a$ . The electric field strength and potential drop in the positive discharge column also increase here as a consequence of a decrease in the concentration of charged particles, though this contribution to the increase of U is not significant.

A strong increase in discharge voltage at currents less than  $I_* \approx 50$  A has been repeatedly observed in experiments using argon and at atmospheric pressure [11]. An increase in electric field strength in a positive column was not great enough to explain the sharp increase in arcing voltage. Spectral measurements [12] have revealed a sharp drop in the concentration of charged particles at decreased currents.

Thus, an analysis of Eq. (3) indicates that a transition from arcing conditions with negative  $U_a$  into a regime with positive  $U_a$  can occur in two cases, namely, with increasing discharge current (or current density  $j_a$ )  $I > I^*$  and as it decreases,  $I < I_*$ . In both cases, a change in sign occurs when Eq. (4) holds, though while it is reached in the first case due to an increase in  $j_a$ , in the second case it results from a decrease in  $j_0^e$ . Consequently, the nature of a change in sign for  $U_a$  is the same, that is, the anode region is reconstructed in order to maintain a given external discharge current circuit, so that the resulting shortage of electrons is compensated for.

The cause of the so-called "current crisis" in high-current plasma accelerators [10] thereby becomes understandable. Many studies have recently appeared dealing with this question [13-17]. A change in sign of the near-anode barrier ascurrent increases,  $I > I^*$  (i.e., maximal increase of anode current density,  $j_a \ge j_0^e$ ), or as the flow rate of the driver gas decreases,  $G < G_*$  (i.e., maximal decrease of concentration of charged particles such that  $j_0^e \le j_a$ ), followed by a sharp increase of the accelerator supply voltage with a minimal increase in current and often inadmissibly high anode heat release, † is apparently the cause of such crisis phenomena.

Experimental data have demonstrated that ionic heating [in Eq. (2), the second term on the right] amounts to from a few parts to 10% of the total anode heat release. An analysis of Eq. (2) then implies that the volt equivalent U<sub>ef</sub> in the regime of negative U<sub>a</sub> (without taking into account radiant-convective heat flow q) will be of the order of magnitude of the effective work function  $\varphi$  of the anode material [more precisely, greater than  $\varphi$  by a magnitude on the order of (k/e)Te]. It is precisely these figures that were obtained in the experiment (cf. Fig. 2). Similar results have been observed also in other studies (for example, [3]). The increase in U<sub>ef</sub> with increased pressure is chiefly due to ionic heating. It should be expected that the role of ionic heating will increase in atmospheric arcs.

The volt equivalent sharply increases as we pass to positive  $U_a$  (cf. Fig. 2, [3]). This is explained by the fact that electrons determining the anode heat flows carry an energy  $eU_a$  units greater than  $e\varphi + 2kT_e$ , this excess possibly reaching tens of electron volts [1, 2]. The total heat flow Q therefore also increases.

That current density  $j_a$  significantly increases as we pass to a regime with positive  $U_a$  is far more important from the point of view of solving the electrode cooling problem, since all the electron current  $j_0^e$  passes through the anode; moreover, the specific heat flow  $q_a$  sharply increases. On the other hand, in a regime with a contracted discharge erosion, melting and other effects leading to rapid destruction of the electrode will of necessity appear.

Thus it has been established that the boundary at which one regime changes into a second regime (change of sign of  $U_a$ ) is attained when condition (4) holds. We may conclude from these results, as well as from data of other studies dealing with the study of the point at which  $U_a$  changes sign, that this law is sufficiently general. Figure 3, in which  $U_a$  is depicted as a function of  $j_a/j_0^e$  (curve 1: p=120 mm Hg; 2: p=5 mm Hg; 3: data from [3]; 4: data from [14]), may serve as an illustration of this fact.

How often is a regime with negative  $U_a$  encountered in actual plasma units? It has been supposed until comparatively recently that  $U_a$  is positive in high-current plasma accelerators. This has been the case in coaxial channel amplifiers at currents of tens of kA [2]. Recent investigations have demonstrated that  $U_a$  is negative in most "normal" regimes of steady-state accelerators and is positive only in socalled regimes that are "over-maximal"; such regimes are usually accompanied by comparatively rapid electrode failure.

The opinion has been firmly established that  $U_a$  is positive in the course of investigating atmospheric arcs, in contrast to accelerators in which pressure varies within the discharge range from 0.1 to 10 mm Hg. It has been indicated that  $U_a$  is positive in such discharges [19]. However, by interpreting probe measurements given in [19], we may hypothesize the presence of negative  $U_a$  on cooled anodes in high-current arcs at atmospheric pressure (this has been already indicated in [20]). In fact, the difference between the floating potential of the probe and the plasma potential was not taken into account in [19]. This correction under the conditions of this article is 10 V, according to some data [21], so that the anode drop will be, not 5 V, as was obtained in [19], but -5 V.

If we assume that  $U_a$  is negative, the calculated values for current density and specific heat release given by Eqs. (1) and (2) will correspond to values that have been experimentally determined and that are not observed at positive  $U_a$  (cf. Table 1). This once again confirms the fact that  $U_a$  is negative at atmospheric pressure.

Many studies resulted from incorrect data given by Finkelburg [19], in which the anode drop in atmospheric arcs was determined calorimetrically [22-26]. It was first assumed in these works that  $U_a$  is

†In fact, the picture in the near-anode region of plasma accelerators is indisputably more complex, due to the presence of strong interaction between the flow of conducting gas and the electric and magnetic fields. Contraction of the plasma from the anode and its overheating, in particular, may lead to the appearance of a crisis [15, 18]. Further, in plasma accelerators  $j_0^e$  is determined not only by the concentration n and temperature  $T_e$ , but also by the magnetic field strength H. It is difficult for electrons to move across the lines of force of a magnetic field, which leads to a decrease in the effective value of  $j_0^e$ . As a result, the crisis manifests itself particularly sharply and is accompanied by removal of the currents beyond the accelerator section.

positive, so that an interpretation of the results of the calorimetric measurements gave a false result, namely, the anode drop turned out to be positive, whereas in fact it must be negative. An analysis demonstrates that use of data from calorimetric measurements to determine  $U_a$  leads to errors in most cases if additional information is not employed.

Similar errors in determining the magnitude and sign of  $U_a$  by the calorimetric method can also be observed in investigations of high-current arcs at pressures below atmospheric (10-500 mm Hg) [27].

Thus, many experiments as well as some calculations [28] lead us to conclude that a positive anode potential drop exists within a comparatively small range of variation of discharge parameters. There is thus every basis for supposing that  $U_a$  can also be negative at high pressures (greater than atmospheric). It is convenient to organize the processes in plasma units in such a way that a transition to a regime with positive  $U_a$  is not realized, since it is less convenient from all points of view.

## LITERATURE CITED

- 1. B. N. Klyarfel'd, N. A. Neretina, and A. A. Druzhinina, "Anode region in a gas discharge at low pressures," Zh. Tekh. Fiz., 42, No. 6, 1253 (1972).
- 2. A. Ya. Kislov, A. I. Morozov, and G. N. Tilinin, "Distribution of electric potential in a coaxial quasisteady plasma injector," Zh. Tekh. Fiz., 38, No.6,975 (1968).
- 3. L. M. Polyakov and K. S. Khlopkin, "Thermal regime of an anode in a high-current discharge at low pressure," in: Papers of the Second All-Union Conference on Plasma Accelerators [in Russian], Minsk (1973), p. 384.
- 4. N. S. Merinov, I. N. Ostretsov, V. A. Petrosov, and A. A. Porotnikov, "Measurement of anode potential drops in a medium of neutral gases at high anode temperatures," in: Papers of the Second All-Union Conference on Plasma Accelerators [in Russian], Minsk (1973), p. 386.
- 5. I. N. Ostretsov, V. A. Petrosov, A. A. Porotnikov, and B. B. Rodnevich, "Properties of metal-plasma junctions," Zh. Prikl. Mekh. Tekh. Fiz., No. 6, 162 (1974).
- 6. I. N. Ostretsov, V. A. Petrosov, A. A. Porotnikov, and B. B. Rodnevich, "Potential model of the layer adjacent to an electrode," in: Papers of the Second All-Union Conference on Plasma Accelerators [in Russian], Minsk (1973), p. 366.
- I. L. Iosilevskii and E. E. Son, "Thermionic emission on a metal nonideal plasma boundary," in: Sixth All-Union Conference on Low-Temperature Plasma Generators [in Russian], Frunze (1974), p. 311.
- 8. B. Ya. Moizhes and G. E. Pikus (editors), Thermal Emission Convertors and Low-Temperature Plasma [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1973).
- 9. N. B. Lappo, A. A. Porotnikov, L. M. Polyakov, N. S. Khlopkin, and V. P. Khodnenko, "Study of radiant fluxes from an anode into a high-current discharge," in: Plasma Accelerators [in Russian], Mashinostroenie, Moscow (1973), p. 179.
- 10. A. A. Porotnikov, "Steady-state high-current plasma accelerators," in: Plasma Accelerators [in Russian], Mashinostroenie, Moscow (1973), p. 105.
- V. N. Kolesnikov, "Arc-type discharges in neutral gases," Tr. Inst. Fiz. Akad. Nauk SSSR im. P. N. Lebedev, <u>30</u>, 66 (1964).
- 12. V. F. Kitaeva, V. V. Obukhov-Denisov, and N. N. Sobolev, "Concentration of charged particles in the plasma of an arc burning in an argon helium atmosphere," Opt. Spektrosk., 12, No. 2, 178 (1962).
- A. G. Korsun, "Pinch effects in a high-current plasma accelerator with longitudinal magnetic field," in: Papers of the Second All-Union Conference on Plasma Accelerators [in Russian], Minsk (1973), p. 199.
- 14. G. A. Dyzhev, S. M. Skhkol'ik, and V. G. Yur'ev, "The 'limiting regimes' of a low-voltage arc-type discharge," in: Papers of the Second All-Union Conference on Plasma Accelerators [in Russian], Minsk (1973), p. 229.
- 15. A.I. Morozov and A. P. Shubin, "Mechanism for the formation of a near-anode potential jump in highcurrent steady-state plasma accelerators with coaxial geometry," in: Papers of the Second All-Union Conference on Plasma Accelerators [in Russian], Minsk. (1973), p. 239.
- F. G. Baksht, B. Ya. Moizhes, and A. B. Rybakov, "Critical regime of a plasma accelerator," Zh. Tekh. Fiz., <u>43</u>, No. 12, 2568 (1973).
- 17. A. G. Korsun, "Limiting currents in a plasma accelerator with intrinsic magnetic field," Zh. Tekh. Fiz., <u>44</u>, No. 1, 202 (1974).
- 18. A. I. Morozov and A. P. Shubin, "Near-electrode processes in plane Hall flows of a highly conducting plasma," in: Plasma Accelerators [in Russian], Mashinostroenie, Moscow (1973), p. 261.

- 19. W. Finkelburg and G. Mekker, Electric Arcs and Thermal Plasmas [Russian translation], IL (1961).
- B. Ya. Moizhes and V. A. Nemchinskii, "Theory of high-pressure arcs on heat-proof cathodes," Zh. Tekh. Fiz., <u>42</u>, No. 5, 1001 (1972).
- 21. D. Gray and T. F. Jacobs, "A cooled electrostatic probe," Raketn. Tekh. Kosmonavt., No. 1, 98 (1967).
- 22. L.M. Socio and C.V. Boffa, "A new method for measuring the anode fall in arc plasma generators," HTL TR, No. 87, University of Minnesota (1969).
- 23. R. C. Eberhard and R. A. Seban, "The energy balance for a high current argon arc," Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 9, 939 (1966).
- 24. O. H. Nestor, "Heat intensity and current density distributions at the anode of high-current, inert gas arcs," J. Appl. Phys., <u>33</u>, No. 5, 1638 (1962).
- 25. J. B. Wilkenson and D. R. Milner, "Heat transfer from arcs," Brit. Weld. J., 7, 115 (1960).
- 26. P. Schoeck and F. Maisenhaelder, "Zur Druckabhangigkeit der Anodenfellspannung von Hochdrucklichtbogen," Beitr. Plasmaphys., No. 5/66, 345 (1966).
- 27. A. M. Dorodnov, N. P. Kozlov, and N. N. Reshetnikov, "Study of the energy characteristics of a radiation-cooled anode," in: Papers of the Second All-Union Conference on Plasma Accelerators [in Russian], Minsk (1973), p. 388.
- 28. G. V. Babkin and A. V. Potapov, "Influence of surface and volume ionization on near-electrode potential drops," Zh. Prikl. Mekh. Tekh. Fiz., No. 4, 137 (1970).

## EXCITATION OF ELECTROMAGNETIC OSCILLATION IN

THE PLASMA ZONE OF AN ELECTRON - ION OSCILLATING

DISCHARGE

V. M. Rashkovan and F. M. Trubchaninov

UDC 533.98

Experimental data are presented on the excitation of electromagnetic oscillations in the plasma of an electron – ion oscillating discharge. The mechanism by which the neutral medium is excited by means of a beam of oscillating electrons and the resulting excitation of electromagnetic radiation are considered. The experimental results reasonably agree with theoretical conclusions for the idealized case of the passage of an electron beam through a neutral medium. A possible mechanism for the acceleration of high-energy electrons by an electromagnetic wave is hypothesized.

It has been demonstrated in a number of works [1-3] that studied an electron - ion oscillating discharge in a magnetic field, that the discharge cavity divides into two mutually connected regions in which the electrons and ions oscillate at low pressures in a system of electrodes whose potential alternates in sign. The electron component of the plasma is continuously populated basically by ionization processes within the discharge. The ion component is continuously populated due to ionization of the atoms of the neutral gas by the oscillating electrons.

The ionization mechanism for a neutral medium by an electron beam was theoretically solved in [4]. It was demonstrated that bremsstrahlung and scattering is accompanied by a disturbance of the medium in some spatial zone, formed due to cascade ionization processes by the electrons of the neutral atoms. Oscillations with a frequency [4]

$$\omega = \left\{ \frac{4\pi e^2}{M} \left( \frac{3\pi Z^2 e^4 n_0 \sigma^2 N^3 v_0}{4\alpha E_0 \Delta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

Kharkov. Translated from Zhurnal Prikladnoi Mekhaniki i Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki, No. 1, pp. 25-27, January-February. 1976. Original article submitted September 10, 1974.

This material is protected by copyright registered in the name of Plenum Publishing Corporation, 227 West 17th Street, New York, N.Y. 10011. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission of the publisher. A copy of this article is available from the publisher for \$7.50.